



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

CITICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/503,166	02/14/2000	Joseph A. Yaccarino III	X-9304	6169
75	590 09/23/2003			
Gipple & Hale	e		EXAMINER	
6665-A Old Do				
McLean, VA 22101				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				18
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,
Advis	ory Action	09/503,166	YACCARINO III ET A	AL.
Auvis	ory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hieu Phan	3738	
The MAILING D	OATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
Therefore, further action inal rejection under 37 C condition for allowance; (	anuary 2003 FAILS TO PLACE by the applicant is required to a CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Impliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it is applicated an applicated and the same it is applicated and applicated an	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in
		PLY [check either a) or b)]		
_	expires <u>5 months from the mailing date of</u> expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichever	is later. In no
event, however, will t	he statutory period for reply expire later the BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
have been filed is the date for pu B7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated fro	obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data proses of determining the period of extension:  (1) the expiration date of the shortened received by the Office later than three modes are 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (	ension fee under 2) as set forth in
	ll was filed on <u>27 January 2003</u> . <i>I</i> or any extension thereof (37 CF			orth in
2. The proposed ame	endment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🗌 they raise nev	v issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the	issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)  they are not d issues for app	eemed to place the application in eal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present NOTE:	additional claims without cancel 	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply h	as overcome the following rejec	etion(s):		
	r amended claim(s) would -allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
	, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo dition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NO	T place the
	hibit will NOT be considered be miner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
	ppeal, the proposed amendment w the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the o	claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	· .			
Claim(s) objected	to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	<u>1-12, 29-32</u> .			
' '	n from consideration:			
8. The proposed draw	wing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached	Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. ☐ Other:				
		_		
		1.	1 /	_

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Even though the continuation application of Paul et al. (U.S. Patent 6,258,125) has added Joseph A. Yaccarino, III as an inventor, and United states Patent number 6,025,538, of which the present application is continuation-in-part, added David C. Paul as inventor, does not over come the fact that both patents ('125 and '538) have a different inventive entity and assignee.

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/503,166	YACCARINO III ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>03/27/2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.			ne brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper eading or in the proper order.
2.			ne brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the opealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a atement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.	$\boxtimes$		ne brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		Th	ne brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		Α:	single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		Th	ne brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		Th	ne brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Ot	ther (including any explanation in support of the above items):

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

H-72